ZedAI telcon 20100329

From zedwiki

Note: this meeting will be held at 1300h UTC. Find the meeting time in your geographical location (http://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/fixedtime.html?month=03&day=29&year=2010&hour=13&min=0&sec=0&p1=0).

Contents

1 Present
2 Regrets
3 IRC Channel
4 Previous Telcon
5 Action Items
   5.1 New
   5.2 Brought Along
      5.2.1 Braille-centric
      5.2.2 MathML-centric
      5.2.3 Other
6 Agenda/Minutes
   6.1 Action Item review/update
   6.2 Spec changes, progress update
   6.3 Public Release Preparations
   6.4 SchemaDoc 2.0
   6.5 This weeks Braille issues
      6.5.1 Num element, status update, done?
      6.5.2 Continuation Issues
      6.5.3 Issue 126
      6.5.4 Issue 141
      6.5.5 Issues 125 and 128
   6.6 Next call

Present

Markus (scribe), Matt, Boris, James, Christian

Regrets

Kenny, who is finalizing DAISY Online this week.
IRC Channel

IRC Address: irc.a11y.org (irc://irc.a11y.org)

Channel: #zedai

Previous Telcon

ZedAI_telcon_20100322

Action Items

New

James: read spec draft; provide thoughts/comments
Markus: talk to Kenny tuesday: if article continuation use case satisfied then @markus add attribute to schema, else continue discussions. Christian: talk to ChristianW and tell if the proposal in comment#2 of Issue 126 works for SBS

Brought Along

- Markus - ask ViewPlus about the SVG namespace -inject issue [ONGOING @markus]
- Markus: notify list when refactored spec is ready for review

Braille-centric

- markus: on meta+properties for braille/formatting annotations: summarize to list
- markus: check with Joel re homonyms in BiD
- James: add the map proposal to Christians wiki page on continuations.

MathML-centric

- ChristianE, remind ChristianW to send suggestion about MathML vs num distinction. (See Issue 133 (http://code.google.com/p/zednext/issues/detail?id=133) )

Other

- Matt: review inline markup in bibliography, glossary, index {ONGOING}
- markus add issue to tracker: may be neccessary with publisher address in inline reqd metadata.

Agenda/Minutes
Action Item review/update

See #Brought_Along above.

Spec changes, progress update

Report Matt, Markus

Matt: we are in a state now where initial review is welcomed. We have left <remark /> elements with misc comments.

James: give it an overarching read.

Public Release Preparations

- All major remaining changes need to be in place by April 30
- Any issues remaining at that point will be deferred.
- Initial May weeks consists of schema testing, minor bugfixing, doc updates and public wiki information page authoring
- Release announced Friday 21st of May.

The length of time that this May release will stay in that state is currently undecided, and depends on testing input, and relations to W3C specs we are waiting, and some ZedDist dependencies.

By early april, we will begin distributing action items for the below (this item stays in our agendas til then as a reminder).

- Review of schemas
- Review of spec
- Review of primer
- Review of profile resource directories
- Review of feature resource directories
- Start of end user oriented wiki pages at the DAISYPedia wiki, including:
  - Wiki document: executive summary, with major points such as: adaptable, extensible, embraces both simple and advanced usage, content types: goes beyond the book, ready for the global library (rich metadata (ONIX, MODS), packaging (mime, OCF), parallell publishing: rich enough for Braille
  - Wiki document: zedai for dtbook connoisseurs (going through major differences)
  - Wiki document: customizing a ZedAI profile
- Cleanup of outdated intro docs on zednext wiki
- revive a forum for bugreports/questions from the community re zedai

SchemaDoc 2.0

New version out, review comments from group?
Per is essentially waiting for comments, no more TODOs on personal list.

This weeks Braille issues

Num element, status update, done?

Separate Issue (133 (http://code.google.com/p/zednext/issues/detail?id=133) ) for Num-MathML distinction. (Note that z3986-num.rng (http://code.google.com/p/zednext/source/browse/trunk/src/schema/mod/z3986-num.rng) also refers to this distinction (line 48))

Continuation Issues

See solution proposal(s) at ZedAI_Continuation_Issues_Proposal (http://www.daisy.org/zw/ZedAI_Continuation_Issues_Proposal)

James: alternate definition: "logical element that's split in multiple parts"

Boris: logically part of the same element but broken up into several for syntactical reasons.

All agree to change the definition as per above. Schema module needs longdescs.

Christian: not convinced that it satisfies Kenny's use case. Markus: will talk to Kenny tomorrow.

Matt: just requiring QName to be the same is not sufficient to say "same"; for example rend attributes would need to be the same.

Markus: we could say that subsequent attributes that claim something else should be ignored by the PA.

James: Should only be specified for merging; we don't want people to use @group in order to shorthand for example @role

James: We can specify rules on how to construct a single element from the group. If this is done, then this is what it would look like. Alternatively, the PA could just ignore the group and deal with element attributes as they appear.

James: we provide a desc that @group represents one logical element. If we state then in the spec that PAs that need to treat that content as a single unit, the way in which single elements are constructed is: all of the attributes on that element are considered to be on the logical element, and the content of the logical element is the content of the group elements, aggregated as they appear in the document order.

Boris: if attributes aren't re-specified on every member, then a PA that ignores group would see a different infoset than the ones that recognize PA.

James: Suggest: Processing groups as a single entity is optional for PAs; PAs are allowed ignore group. Therefore its incumbent on authors to provide attribute info on all members of the group.

Boris: points out that its not needed to say that IDREF order is significant; document order wins.
@markus talk to Kenny tomorrow: if use case satisfied then @markus add first of attribute to schema, else continue discussions.

**Issue 126**

Because its kinda associated with Braille and grouping of paragraphs.

Refer to tracker Issue 126 (http://code.google.com/p/zednext/issues/detail?id=126)

- Is indentation information needed for Braille purposes?
  - If so, is proposal 2 in the issue a satisfactory solution?
  - If so, which element's should this be available on (Block class would be the default assumption)

Matt: yes, needed for BANA @Christian talk to ChristianW and tell is the proposal in comment#2 is good enough for SBS

Markus: should we have inheritance here? Matt: yes for consistency, although probably wouldn't expect it to be used a lot.

**Issue 141**

Refer to tracker Issue 141 (http://code.google.com/p/zednext/issues/detail?id=141)

*This item was not covered during the con.*

**Issues 125 and 128**

Refer to tracker Issue 125 (http://code.google.com/p/zednext/issues/detail?id=125) and Issue 128 (http://code.google.com/p/zednext/issues/detail?id=128)

*This item was not covered during the con.*

**Next call**

12 of April (next monday is easter)
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