1. Paul Sevcik reported on his discussions with ISO committee about the use of AFI. The group appears to be willing to assign one of the 64 AFI codes to represent library items that are checked out. They would also allot one of the codes for closed applications for items that are checked in.

2. Alistair McArthur did a short presentation on security issues. See the attached powerpoint.

3. After a short discussion, the committee agreed that in today’s world a standard would need to support both the use of AFI and EAS for security. Vinod agreed to assemble a sub-group to look at the issue of security.

4. The group looked at the Danish content model using the document that Vinod had prepared.
   a. Paul and Alistair agreed to prepare a summary of the pros and cons of CRC.
   b. The group agreed that including the Country of Library and Library ID as a mandatory item was important as this along with the item id would uniquely identify items in a way that would be helpful for resource sharing applications.
   c. Marty Withrow and Livia Bitner agreed to look at standards that could support this within the US.
   d. The group agreed that media format was critical for self checkout applications.
   e. The group acknowledge that having call number information in the mandatory section would raise serious privacy concerns.

5. The group agreed to meet via conference call during the second week of March. Members are to send availability to Pat Stevens.

The group postponed discussion of RFID tags for patron cards and a calendar for completion.