Balloting Summary

For Z39.7 Information Services and Use: metrics & statistics for libraries and information providers--Data Dictionary (ballot no. 433)

Summary:

total votes: 26 (YES: 25 / NO: 0 / ABSTAIN: 1)

Votes Cast:

Betty Landesman for American Library Association
VOTE: YES (vote id:3447/ vote date:April 23, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Comments following via E-mail.

Judith Gibbs for American Society for Indexing
VOTE: YES (vote id:3429/ vote date:April 23, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments:

Gail Thornburg for American Society for Information Science and Technology (ASIS&T)
VOTE: YES (vote id:3367/ vote date:April 17, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

Myron Chace for American Theological Library Association
VOTE: YES (vote id:3467/ vote date:April 24, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

Emily Court for Armed Forces Medical Library
VOTE: YES (vote id:3347/ vote date:April 16, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

Caroline R. Miller for Association of Jewish Libraries
VOTE: YES (vote id:3487/ vote date:April 26, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

Julia Blixrud for Association of Research Libraries
VOTE: YES (vote id:3507/ vote date:April 27, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

Douglas Cheney for Barnes & Noble, Inc.
VOTE: ABSTAIN (vote id:3427/ vote date:April 22, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: The standard looks to be very useful and well-planned. We are abstaining only due to the fact that the standard is entirely within the library community.

Brian Green for Book Industry Communication
VOTE: YES (vote id:3352/ vote date:April 16, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

James Steenbergen for Ex Libris, Inc.
VOTE: YES (vote id:3349/ vote date:April 16, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

Nadine P. Ellero for Medical Library Association
VOTE: YES (vote id:3449/ vote date:April 23, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: While I am casting a yes vote, there are still some sections that need correction and/or further development. A detailed email will be following with my comments and the comments of two other reviewers from the Medical Library Association.

Cecelia Boone for MINITEX
VOTE: YES (vote id:3450/ vote date:April 23, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments:

Kate Noerr for MuseGlobal, Inc.
VOTE: YES (vote id:3229/ vote date:March 26, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

Mark McKnight for Music Library Association
VOTE: YES (vote id:3410/ vote date:April 21, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

Jane Neale for Nylink
VOTE: YES (vote id:3448/ vote date:April 23, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

Larry Olszewski for OCLC Online Computer Library Center
VOTE: YES (vote id:3407/ vote date:April 20, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

Candy Zemon for Polaris Library Systems
VOTE: YES (vote id:3207/ vote date:March 22, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: We have a few observations on the document. None merit a negative vote. Some may merit feedback or editorial changes. 1. The document had corrupted punctuation characters throughout which made it distracting to read. In most cases a ? appeared in places where one would expect a or *. Section 1.4, end of Reporting Units section is the first example. 2. In general, the Applicability attribute includes international even for sections that are clearly defined by US law or US political architecture. Perhaps we misunderstand the intention of applicability but this was unsettling. 2.1.8 (number 2) is an example. 3. In some cases within the same general division of the standard, the applicability includes international applicability when the rest of the section does not. Sections 3.3 (includes international for other staff) and 3.1, 3.2 (does not include international for certified media specialist or contributed services staff). If it were clearer what the applicability meant, then these apparent inconsistencies would no doubt be resolved. 4. In section 4, it is clear that in general one is trying to measure what is new and what in total is held. This pattern holds in sections 4.1-4.3, for instance, but is abandoned in 4.9.3 and following where only total held is listed. Even less consistent is 4.8.1, where microforms additions are listed, but NOT microforms holdings. 5. On a similar note, it is not clear why sometimes e-metrics are included within the main section (4.5.3 for instance) and sometimes not (4.6.2 has no e-metrics). 6. In sections 7.7 and 5.6.2, both are counting internet-enabled workstations, though once as a physical facility and once as a service, apparently. This is a bit confusing without some definitional nod to the double use of the term. 7. Appendix A, E-metrics definition, first set of bullets (p.49) the text mentions four core datasets and indeed four phrases are listed, but only three of them are bulleted. 8. The section 2.1.14 contains state misspelled as sate.

Anthony Ross for Reed Elsevier
VOTE: YES (vote id:3128/ vote date:March 08, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

Lennie Stovel for RLG
VOTE: YES (vote id:3547/ vote date:May 03, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

Frederick O’Hara for Society for Technical Communication (STC)
VOTE: YES (vote id:3369/ vote date:April 17, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

Lisa Weber for Society of American Archivists
VOTE: YES (vote id:3527/ vote date:April 27, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Just a comment on a couple of typos. In Section 2.1.14 State Library Agency, the last two lines contain two instances of "Sate" instead of "State."

Marcia Lei Zeng for Special Libraries Association (SLA)
VOTE: YES (vote id:3428/ vote date:April 22, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: The Special Libraries Association Technical Standards Committee members have discussed the definitions that the Z39.7 proposed regarding the Special Libraries. We think NISO needs to work more on the definitions, make them consistent and appropriate.

=====================================================================

regarding Special Libraries: A special library is a library within a business firm, professional association, government agency, hospital, research institution or other organized group; a library maintained by a parent organization to support a specialized clientele; or an independent library that may provide materials or services to the public, a segment of the public, or to other libraries. Scope of collections and services are limited to the subject interests of the host or parent organization and usually have depth within those subject areas.

Ted Koppel for TLC The Library Corporation
VOTE: YES (vote id:3348/ vote date:April 16, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: We vote for the proposed standard. We suggest that, in addition to its HTML availability, that NISO consider a baseline printed version as well, for use in tracking revisions and changes.

Mona C. Couts for Triangle Research Libraries Network
VOTE: YES (vote id:3387/ vote date:April 20, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

Jane L. Cohen for U.S. Department of Defense, DTIC (Defense Technical Information Center)
VOTE: YES (vote id:3327/ vote date:April 15, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.

Carl Grant for VTLS, Inc.
VOTE: YES (vote id:3148/ vote date:March 09, 2004/ ballot id:433) [delete this vote]
Comments: Type your comments here.