ODI Conformance Checklist: Content Provider

Content providers are encouraged to take specific measures to assert their conformance with NISO RP-19-2014, the recommended practices of NISO's Open Discovery Initiative. These measures are voluntarily made by each organization, in an effort to increase transparency and communications around pre-indexed or "web-scale" library discovery services. The ODI Conformance Checklist below was created to enable standardized methods for content providers to assert their ODI conformance to libraries, discovery services, and other industry stakeholders.

Market product(s): e-Duke Books and e-Duke Journals products (see table for complete list)
Organization name: Duke University Press
Date: December 11, 2019
Contact information: libraryrelations@dukeupress.edu

Y/P/N	Recommendation	Reference	Comment
P	Content Provider makes available to Discovery Service Providers core metadata and underlying full-text/original content for complete offerings.	3.2.1.1 (1) (p. 15)	We provide metadata feeds to select discovery partners (EBSCO, ProQuest/Ex Libris, OCLC) and allow some partners to crawl web content for indexing purposes.
P	Content Provider makes available to Discovery Service Providers, the core set of metadata elements (see 3.2.1.2) for each item submitted for indexing.	3.2.1.1 (2) (p. 15)	We provide metadata feeds to select discovery partners (EBSCO, ProQuest/Ex Libris, OCLC) and allow some partners to crawl web content for indexing purposes.
P	Content Provider provides the content item and additional descriptive content for as much of their content as possible.	3.2.1.1 (3) (p. 15)	We provide full text where possible and where it will enhance indexing and discoverability.
Y	Content Provider provides libraries, on request, with a statement of participation in the discovery services, including disclosure of coverage depth and content depth	3.2.2 (p. 22)	A list of discovery partners is available upon request, and a list of indexes and abstracting services can be found on the webpage for each journal title, as they differ by journal.
Y	Content Provider's agreements with Discovery Service Providers do not include non-disclosure agreements.	3.2.3 (p. 22)	
Y	The transfer of Content Provider's data to Discovery Service Providers makes use of existing standards where applicable and uses one of the metadata encoding schemes listed in 3.3.3.	3.2.4 (p. 22)	We deliver or make available metadata compliant with the following standards:

MARC, KBART, ONIX |

Duke University Press Online Books Products	Duke University Press Online Journals Products
e-Duke Books Scholarly Collections (annual)	e-Duke Journals Expanded Collection
e-Duke Books Scholarly Collection Archive	e-Duke Journals Standard Collection
e-Duke Books Art and Art History Collection	e-Duke Journals Fundamentals Collection

e-Duke Books Asian Studies Collection	e-Duke Journals Archive
e-Duke Books Gender Studies Collection	*Black Sacred Music* Archive
e-Duke Books Latin American Studies Collection	*Tikkun* Archive
e-Duke Books Music and Sound Studies Collection	
e-Duke Books Religious Studies Collection	
e-Duke Books African, African-American, and Black Diaspora Studies Collection	
e-Duke Books Anthropology Collection	

Instructions

Completion of this checklist requires adding the following responses to the first column of the checklist above:

- A "Y" (for Yes) indicates compliance with the indicated paragraph of this Recommended Practice.
- A "P" (for Partial) indicates partial compliance for which explanatory comments should be entered in the last column.
- An "N" (for No) indicates that the content provider does not comply with the recommendation. Explanatory comments may be added for any response.

One checklist can be completed either per organization or per market product, as content providers see fit. This document can be reformatted as needed and published in a variety of file types. For each recommendation, additional background is offered via links into the associated location of the Recommended Practice. These recommendations include additional tables, representing granular details associated with each item, and content providers are encouraged to use these tables to provide enriched insights into their involvement in library discovery services; these are not, however, required for the completion of this checklist. Supplementary details, commentary, and materials are also encouraged in the last column, but these are not required. If you have questions or need assistance, please contact odi@niso.org.

Scope

Content providers are organizations that offer content products or services, primarily intended for access by library patrons or the general public. The content provided by these organizations is used to generate the central indexes associated with the discovery services. Content providers include commercial and non-profit organizations. Many different access and license models may apply, including those restricted to individuals affiliated with subscribing organizations and those based on open access licenses.

About the Open Discovery Initiative

Nurtured by the National Information Standards Organization (NISO), the Open Discovery Initiative (ODI) aims at defining standards and/or best practices for the new generation of library discovery services that are based on indexed search. These discovery services are primarily based upon indexes derived from journals, ebooks and other electronic information of a scholarly nature. The content comes from a range of information providers and products—commercial, open access, institutional, etc.

Given the growing interest and activity in the interactions between information providers and discovery services, a more standard set of practices is required for the ways that content is represented in discovery services and for the interactions between the creators of these services and the information providers whose resources they represent.

The Recommended Practice was created by members of the ODI Working Group, which completed its work in June 2014 with the publication of NISO RP-19-2014. The ODI Standing Committee is now responsible for support and promotion of this work going forward.

The ODI Standing Committee is charged with the following tasks:

- Promotion and education of ODI Recommended Practice for all stakeholders
- Support for content providers and discovery service providers during adoption and completion of conformance checklists
- Provide a forum for ongoing discussion related to all aspects of discovery platforms for all stakeholders
- Consider next steps for items deemed out of scope from the original ODI Work Group Recommended Practice
- Identify emerging needs in the open discovery space and determine appropriate courses of action
- Make recommendations to the D2D (Discovery to Delivery) topic committee on further work items required to fulfill the goals of the Open Discovery Initiative

To learn more about ODI conformance, visit the Open Discovery Initiative workroom and download NISO RP-19-2014.